



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTO	R		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/733,01 <i>6</i>	12/08/0) MICHALIK		А	2384-002134
- - -			7		EXAMINER
RUSSELL D.	ODUTN	HM12/0727			
· ·	NHEIM LOGS		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
436 SEVENT	S BUILDING H AVENUE PA 15219-;	1818		1661 DATE MAILED:	4
					07/27/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/733,016

Applic nt(s)

Examiner

Kent L. Bell

Art Unit

1661

MICHALIK



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
Period 1	for Reply			
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM		
af	ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic	FR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed sation. In a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will		
be	considered timely.	period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this		
cc	mmunication.	•		
- Any		statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). a mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any		
Status				
1) 💢	Responsive to semmunication(s) filed on application	n filed December 8, 2000		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 🔀 This act	tion is non-final.		
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex\ pa$	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposi	tion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(#) 1	is/ere pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1	is/ are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	ition Papers			
9) 💢	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).		
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:			
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	ve been received.		
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	ve been received in Application No		
	application from the International Bure			
_	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the			
14)∐	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	, priority under 35 0.3.6. \$ 119(e).		
Attachm	ent(s)			
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		18] Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
17) 📙 In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) 💢 Other: Rule 1.105 Requirement		

Art Unit: 1661

Detailed Action

Drawing Notification

The submitted photographic illustrations filed December 8, 2000 have been objected to by an Official Draftsperson under 35 CFR 1.165(a) for the reasons set forth on the attached PTO-948. By way of explanation, the paper on which the photographic illustration is printed is of insufficient weight and the quality of the photographic illustration is poor, i.e. dark and blurry, (37 CFR 1.84(b)). The proper type (weight) of paper to be used is brystol board or photographic double-weight paper.

The submitted photographic illustrations filed March 23, 2001 have been approved by an Official Draftsperson.

Since applicant has filed an additional photographic illustration on March 23, 2001, it appears applicant would like to use this photographic illustration in place of the originally filed photographic illustration. If such is the case, applicant should specify that the photographic illustration filed March 23, 2001 is to be used and cancel the other photographic illustration (filed December 8, 2000). Clarification is necessary.

The rules for submitting drawings, 37 CFR 1.84 and 37 CFR 1.165, were amended effective November 29, 2000. The USPTO has delayed enforcement of these changes until October 1, 2001. Drawings in compliance with the old rules will be accepted through September 30, 2001. Complete details can be found in the May 22, 2001 Official Gazette (1246 OG 106-

Art Unit: 1661

Detailed Action

107) or on the Internet at:

http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/com/sol/og/2001/week21/patwavr.htm.

Objection to the Disclosure

37 CFR 1.163

The following is a quotation of section (a) of 37 CFR 1.163:

(a) The specification must contain as full and complete a disclosure as possible of the plant and the characteristics thereof that distinguish the same over related known varieties, and its antecedents, and must particularly point out where and in what manner the variety of plant has been asexually reproduced. In the case of a newly found plant, the specification must particularly point out the location and character of the area where the plant was discovered.

35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

As specific to United States Plant Patent applications, the specifics of 37 CFR 1.164 (reproduced below) are controlling:

Art Unit: 1661

Detailed Action

The claim shall be in formal terms to the new and distinct variety of the specified plant as described and illustrated, and may also recite the principal distinguishing characteristics. More than one claim is not permitted.

Page 4

In plant applications filed under 35 U.S.C 161, the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112 are limited. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 162:

No plant patent shall be declared invalid for noncompliance with section 112 of this title if the description is as complete as is reasonably possible. The claim in the specification shall be in formal terms to the plant shown and described.

The disclosure is objected to under 35 CFR 1.163(a) and under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification presents less than a full, clear, and complete botanical description of the plant and the characteristics which define same per se and which distinguish the plant from related known cultivars and antecedents.

More Specifically:

A. Applicant recites "The new variety is the result of a combination breeding and embryo rescue.". Applicant should set forth in the specification additional information regarding the origin of the instant cultivar. Applicant should be able to set forth in the specification the parents of the instant cultivar and set forth which parent was used as the pollen parent and which was used as the seed parent. The origin of the plant must be clearly and unambiguously set forth.

Art Unit: 1661

Detailed Action

B. Applicant is requested to set forth in the specification whether the parental cultivars, if

Page 5

named, have been patented in the United States, is currently the subject of a pending U.S. Plant

Patent application, or unpatented. If patented, --(U.S. Plant Patent No.)-- should be inserted after

the cultivar name. If the subject of a pending application, such should be referred to by serial

number. If unpatented, --(unpatented)-- should be inserted after the appropriate cultivar name.

C. Applicant should import into the specification a brief comparison between the instant

cultivar and the parental cultivars, such need not be in any great detail, but should at least be to

the extent to positively distinguish the respective plants from each other.

D. Page 1, lines 15 and 16, Applicant recites "The data which defines these

characteristics were collected by asexual reproductions carried out in Dresden, Germany.".

Applicant should set forth in the specification the type of "asexual reproductions", i.e. cuttings or

other.

E. Page 1, lines 14-18, Applicant should set forth in the specification the age of the plants

when described and container size (if grown in a container).

Art Unit: 1661

Detailed Action

F. Applicant should set forth in the specification the typical and observed plant height

from the surface of the soil to the top of the foliar plane and the top of the inflorescences and

width or spread.

G. In addition to the leaf length set forth at page 1, line 28, applicant should set forth the

typical and observed leaf width.

H. Page 1, line 29, Applicant states margin is "Emarginate". When compared to the

photographic illustration, filed March 23, 2001, it appears the margin is -- Entire with lobes--.

Correction and/or clarification is necessary.

I. Page 2, line 1, Applicant sets forth color designations for the instant plant's foliage.

However, applicant has not specified whether the color designations set forth are for the upper,

lower, or both surfaces. Applicant should set forth in the specification which surface is being

described, if the color designations set forth are for both surfaces then such should be stated in the

specification, if the color designations set forth are for one surface, applicant should set forth

which surface is being described and set forth the color designation(s) for the opposite surface.

Page 6

Art Unit: 1661

Detailed Action

J. When compared to the photographic illustration, filed March 23, 2001, it appears the

leaves have zonation. If such is accurate, applicant should set forth in the specification the color

designation(s) for the zonation with reference to the employed color chart. Correction and/or

clarification is necessary.

K. Page 2, line 3, Applicant states ribs and veins are "Brighter than leaf surface".

Applicant should set forth in the specification the RHS color designation(s) for the rib and vein

coloration. The recitation "Brighter than leaf surface" is vague and insufficient in this regard.

L. Page 2, lines 5 and 8, Applicant states petiole and stem/branch color is "Medium

green". Applicant should set forth in the specification the color designation(s) which represents

"Medium green" with reference to the employed color chart. The recitations "Medium green" are

vague and insufficient in this regard.

M. Page 2, lines 5 and 6, Applicant should set forth in the specification additional

information relative to the instant plant's petioles including the typical and observed petiole

diameter.

Art Unit: 1661

Detailed Action

N. Applicant should set forth in the specification the typical and observed umbel diameter

Page 8

and depth when the flowers are open.

O. Applicant should set forth in the specification the typical and observed number of

inflorescences per plant and flowering season.

P. Applicant should set forth additional information in the specification relative to the

instant plant's petals such as the typical and observed apex, base, and margin descriptors.

Q. Page 3, lines 3 and 6, Applicant states pedicel and peduncle color is "Medium green".

Applicant should set forth in the specification the color designation which represents "Medium

green" with reference to the employed color chart. The recitation "Medium green" is vague and

insufficient in this regard.

R. Page 3, line 7, Applicant should delete "unusual" as this term is vague and meaningless

in this instance.

S. Applicant should set forth in the specification information relative to the instant plant's

sepals such as the typical and observed quantity, length, width, apex, base, and margin

Art Unit: 1661

Detailed Action

descriptors, and coloration (both surfaces). Color designation(s) should be referenced by the

employed color chart.

T. Page 3, line 18, Applicant states there are five pistils per flower. Applicant should

review the amount of pistils per flower as there may only be one pistil that is five parted.

Correction and/or clarification is necessary.

U. Applicant states fruit is very rarely present. Applicant should set forth in the

specification information relative to the instant plant's fruit when present such should include the

typical and observed shape, size, and coloration. Color designation(s) should be referenced by the

employed color chart.

V. Applicant states petal upper surface color "66B" and petal lower surface is "74B".

When compared to the photographic illustration provided (filed March 23, 2001), the recitations

"66B" and "74B" do not look to reasonably correspond to the plant as illustrated. Correction

and/or clarification is necessary. Should the source of the noted discrepancy be the photographic

illustration(s), applicant must account for such in a meaningful manner, or (preferably) submit a

substitute photographic illustration(s) wherein petal color more reasonably corresponds to that set

forth in the specification and the true coloration of the plant. Failure to address this situation

Page 9

Art Unit: 1661

Detailed Action

could result in a future rejection under 37 CFR 1.165(a) for the illustration not being competently

Page 10

and/or artistically executed, as the illustration and the specification must reasonably correspond to

each other and must faithfully depict/set forth the true and characteristic features of the plant.

W. Page 2, line 21, Applicant states "Flowers on umbel; umbel on pedicel; pedicel on

peduncle.". This recitation does not appear to be accurate as flowers make up the umbel and

flowers are connected to the pedicel not the umbel connected to the pedicel. It appears applicant

meant to say "Umbel; flowers on pedicel; pedicel on peduncle.". Correction and/or clarification is

necessary.

The above listing may not be complete. Applicants should carefully review the disclosure

and import into same any corrected or additional information which would aid in botanically

identifying and/or distinguishing the cultivar for which United States Plant Patent protection is

sought.

Claim Rejection

35 U.S.C. 112, 1st & 2nd Paragraphs

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraphs as not being

supported by a clear and complete botanical description of the plant for the reasons set forth in

the Objection to the Disclosure Section above.

Art Unit: 1661

Detailed Action

35 U.S.C. 102

The claimed Geranium variety 'Pennea' is described in Breeder's Right application number OO00504 filed in the Poland on January 12, 1999 and published on March 31, 1999. The claimed Geranium variety 'Pennea' is also described in Breeder's Right application number PT 2603 filed in South Africa on September 28, 1998 and published on September 28, 1998. The claimed Geranium variety 'Pennea' is further described in Breeder's Right application number P 4057 filed in The Czech Republic on August 16, 1999 and published on October 1, 1999. These published applications are each "printed publications" under 35 U.S.C. 102 because they are accessible to persons concerned with the art to which the documents relate. See *In re Wyer*, 655 F.2d 221, 226, 210 USPQ 790, 794 (CCPA 1981). See also MPEP § 2128. For example, UPOV publishes the application number, date of publication, species of plant and variety denomination for PBR certificates, and copies of the South African application, PT 2603, are obtainable through a register of Plant Breeders' Rights. This register is open to public inspection. Thus information regarding the claimed variety, in the form of the publications noted above, was readily available to interested persons of ordinary skill in the art.

A printed publication can serve as a statutory bar under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) if the reference, combined with knowledge in the prior art, would enable one of ordinary skill in the art to reproduce the claimed plant. *In re LeGrice*, 301 F.2d 929, 133 USPQ 365 (CCPA 1962). If one skilled in the art could reproduce the plant from a publicly available source, then a publication

Art Unit: 1661

Detailed Action

describing the plant would have an enabling disclosure. See *Ex parte Thomson*, 24 USPQ 2d 1618, 1620, (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1992)("The issue is not whether the [claimed] cultivar Siokra was on public use or sale in the United States but, rather, whether Siokra seeds were available to a skilled artisan anywhere in the world such that he/she could attain them and make/reproduce the Siokra cultivar disclosed in the cited publications.").

While the publications cited above disclose the claimed plant variety, a question remains as to whether the references are enabling. If the plant was publicly available, then the applications combined with knowledge in the prior art, would enable one of ordinary skill in the art to reproduce the claimed plant. The ability of the Office to determine whether the claimed plant was publicly available is limited. Search of electronic databases, the internet and the Office's collection of retail catalogs has not revealed any evidence that the claimed plant was on sale anywhere in the world. However, the Office's collection of retail catalogs is not comprehensive. Furthermore, the claimed plant may have been sold at the wholesale level, sold under a different name, or even distributed to interested parties free of charge. Since the inventor and assignee of the instant application are in a better position to know when, if ever, the claimed plant was made publicly available, the Examiner is requiring this information in the attached Requirement for Information Under 37 CFR 1.105.

This Office action has an attached requirement for information under 37 CFR 1.105. A complete reply to this Office action must include a complete response to the attached requirement

Page 13

Art Unit: 1661

Detailed Action

for information. The time period for reply to the attached requirement coincides with the time period for reply to this Office action.

Future Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Kent L. Bell whose telephone number is (703) 306-3224. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell, can be reached at (703) 308-4205.

The fax phone number for the group is (703) 305-3014 or 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

K. L. Bell

K. Z. Bell

Art Unit: 1661

Detailed Action

REQUIREMENT FOR INFORMATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.105

Applicant and the assignee of this application are required under 37 CFR 1.105 to provide the following information that the examiner has determined reasonably necessary to the examination of this application.

The information is required to determine when, if ever, the claimed plant variety, 'Pennea', was publicly available prior to the filing date of the instant application.

In response to this requirement please provide any information available regarding the sale or other public distribution of the claimed plant variety anywhere in the world, including the date(s) of any sale or other public distribution. Also, please provide copies of the published applications, OO00504, PT 2603, and P 4057. The Office does not maintain a collection of Breeders' Rights documents and they are not readily obtainable electronically. It is reasonable to expect that Applicant can readily obtain the requested document(s) and information.

The fee and certification requirements of 37 CFR 1.97 are waived for those documents submitted in reply to this requirement. This waiver extends only to those documents within the scope of this requirement under 37 CFR 1.105 that are included in applicant's first complete communication responding to this requirement. Any supplemental replies subsequent to the first communication responding to this requirement under 37 CFR 1.105 are subject to the fee and certification requirements of 37 CFR 1.97.

Art Unit: 1661

Detailed Action

Applicant is reminded that the reply to this requirement must be made with candor and good faith under 37 CFR 1.56. Where applicant does not have or cannot readily obtain an item of required information, a statement that the item is unknown or cannot be readily obtained will be accepted as a complete response to the requirement for that item.

This requirement is an attachment of the enclosed Office action. A complete reply to the enclosed Office action must include a complete response to this requirement. The time period for reply to this requirement coincides with the time period for reply to the enclosed Office action, Zum Cample

which is three months.

BRUCE R. CAMPELL, PH.D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Page 15